arXiv:math/0512050v1 [math.AC] 2 Dec 2005

ON RECIPROCITY

FLORIAN DELOUP* AND VLADIMIR TURAEV

Abstract. We prove a reciprocity formula between Gauss sums that is used in the computation of certain quantum invariants of 3-manifolds. Our proof uses the discriminant construction applied to the tensor product of lattices.

In 1992, L. Jeffrey [7] (§4.2, Proposition 4.3, p. 583) stated the following reciprocity formula for Gauss sums. Let W be a lattice of finite rank l and $\langle \cdot , \cdot \rangle$ be an inner product in the real vector space $W_{\mathbb{R}} = W \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. Let $W^\bullet = \{x \in W_{\mathbb{R}} \mid \langle x, W\rangle \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the dual lattice. Let $h : W_{\mathbb{R}} \to W_{\mathbb{R}}$ be a self-adjoint automorphism, $\psi \in W_{\mathbb{R}}$ and r a positive integer. Assume that $h(W^\bullet) \subset W^\bullet$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{r}{2}\langle x, h(x)\rangle,\ \langle x, h(x')\rangle,\ r\langle x, \psi\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{for all } x, x' \in W, \\ &\frac{r}{2}\langle y, h(y)\rangle,\ \langle y, ry'\rangle,\ r\langle y, \psi\rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{for all } y, y' \in W^\bullet. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

The reciprocity formula states that

$$\operatorname{vol}(W^\bullet) \sum_{x \in W/rW} \exp\Big(\frac{\pi i}{r}(\langle \tilde{x}, h(\tilde{x})\rangle + 2r\langle \tilde{x}, \psi\rangle)\Big) \tag{2}$$

$$= \left(\det\left(\frac{h}{i}\right)\right)^{-1/2} r^{l/2} \sum_{y \in W^\bullet/h(W^\bullet)} \exp\left(-\pi i r \langle \tilde{y} + \psi, h^{-1}(\tilde{y} + \psi)\rangle\right)$$

where $\tilde{x} \in W$ stands for an arbitrary lift of x and $\tilde{y} \in W^\bullet$ stands for an arbitrary lift of y. (The sums above do not depend on the choice of the lifts.) Note that the volume $\operatorname{vol}(L)$ of a lattice $L \subset W_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the absolute value of the determinant of a matrix expanding a basis of L with respect to an orthonormal basis of $W_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Formula (2) was later used by several authors, see for instance [6, p. 623] and [9, Th. 8.1, formulas (8.4), (8.5)]. However, Formula (2) has an obvious sign ambiguity in the choice of a square root of $\det(h/i)$. Moreover, one important factor is missing as is clear from the following corrected version of (2).

Define a symmetric bilinear form $g : W \times W \to \mathbb{Z}$ by $g(x, y) = \langle x, h(y)\rangle$ for $x, y \in W$. Recall that the signature $\sigma(g) \in \mathbb{Z}$ is defined as the number of positive terms minus the number of negative terms in a diagonal matrix presenting the bilinear extension $W_{\mathbb{R}} \times W_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{R}$ of g. Our main result is

Theorem 1.

$$\operatorname{vol}(W^\bullet) \sum_{x \in W/rW} \exp \frac{\pi i}{r}\Big(\langle \tilde{x}, h(\tilde{x})\rangle + 2r\langle \tilde{x}, \psi\rangle\Big) \tag{3}$$

$$= |\det(h)|^{-1/2} \exp\left(\pi i \frac{\sigma(g)}{4}\right) r^{l/2} \sum_{y \in W^\bullet/h(W^\bullet)} \exp\left(-\pi i r\langle \tilde{y} + \psi, h^{-1}(\tilde{y} + \psi)\rangle\right)$$

where $\tilde{x} \in W$, $\tilde{y} \in W^\bullet$ are arbitrary lifts of x, y as above.

This formula belongs to a long sequence of reciprocity formulas for Gauss sums due to Cauchy, Dirichlet, Kronecker, Krazer and others. In 1997, in his Ph. D. thesis [2] (see also [3]), the first author established a reciprocity formula for Gauss sums generalizing Krazer's formula. This was further generalized by the second author in [13]. The aim of this note is to deduce Formula (3) from our reciprocity formulas.

---

*Supported by a E.U. Research Grant MERG-CT-2004-510590.

In Section 1 we recall our reciprocity formulas and deduce them from a classical van der Blij formula. In Section 2 we prove Formula (3).

1. General reciprocity formulas

1.1. **The Gauss sums.** A *quadratic function* on a finite abelian group G is a map $q : G \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $b_q(x, y) = q(x + y) - q(x) - q(y)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-bilinear in x and y. For a group $H \subset G$, set $H^\perp = \{x \in G \mid b_q(x, H) = 0\}$ and $|H| = \mathrm{card}\,(H)$. We say that q is *nondegenerate* if $G^\perp = 0$. Let

$$\gamma(G, q) = |G|^{-1/2}|G^\perp|^{-1/2} \sum_{x \in G} e^{2\pi i q(x)}$$

be the Gauss sum associated to q and normalized so that its absolute value is either 0 or 1. The latter case occurs if and only if $q|_{G^\perp} = 0$.

We begin with two classical lemmas. In both lemmas, $q : G \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ is a nondegenerate quadratic function. For the first lemma, see for example [11], pp.168 and 171. The proofs are given there for homogeneous q (in the sense that $q(nx) = n^2 q(x)$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in G$), but are valid for all q.

Lemma 1. *For any group $H \subset G$ such that $H \subset H^\perp$ and $q|_H = 0$, the function q induces a quadratic function $q_H : H^\perp/H \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, $\gamma(G, q) = \gamma(H^\perp/H, q_H)$.*

In the following lemma ([12], §1.7), bar denotes complex conjugation.

Lemma 2. *For any group $A \subset G$,*

$$\gamma(A, q|_A) = \gamma(G, q)\,\overline{\gamma(A^\perp, q|_{A^\perp})}. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Set $B = A^\perp$ and $H = A \cap B$. If $q|_H \neq 0$, then $\gamma(A, q|_A) = \gamma(B, q|_B) = 0$ and Formula (4) holds. Suppose that $q|_H = 0$. Since q is non-degenerate, $|G|/|H^\perp| = |G/H^\perp| = |\ \mathrm{Hom}\ (H, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})| = |H|$ and similarly $|G|/|B| = |A|$. Therefore $|A + B| = |A||B|/|H| = |G|/|H| = |H^\perp|$. The obvious inclusion $A + B \subset H^\perp$ implies that $A + B = H^\perp$. By Lemma 1, q induces a quadratic function q_H on $H^\perp/H$ and $\gamma(G, q) = \gamma(H^\perp/H, q_H)$. Observe that $(H^\perp/H, q)$ is an orthogonal sum of the induced quadratic functions on A/H and B/H. Their Gauss sums are equal to $\gamma(A, q|_A)$ and $\gamma(B, q|_B)$ respectively. By multiplicativity with respect to the orthogonal sum,

$$\gamma(G, q) = \gamma(H^\perp/H, q) = \gamma(A, q|_A)\,\gamma(B, q|_B).$$

Formula (4) follows. ■

1.2. **The discriminant.** To compute the Gauss sums explicitly, it is convenient to use the discriminant construction on lattices which we now recall. A *lattice* is a finitely generated free abelian group. A *bilinear lattice* (V, f) is a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $f : V \times V \to \mathbb{Z}$ on a lattice V. A bilinear lattice (V, f) extends to a symmetric nondegenerate bilinear form $f_{\mathbb{Q}} : V_{\mathbb{Q}} \times V_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbb{Q}$ where $V_{\mathbb{Q}} = V \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ of finite dimension equal to the rank of V. (Here and below $\otimes = \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}$). Let $V^\sharp = \{x \in V_{\mathbb{Q}} \mid f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x, V) \subset \mathbb{Z}\}$ be the dual lattice. Clearly $V \subset V^\sharp$. Consider the finite abelian group $G_f = V^\sharp/V$ and define a symmetric bilinear form $\lambda_f : G_f \times G_f \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\lambda_f\,(x (\mathrm{mod}\ V), y (\mathrm{mod}\ V)) = f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x, y) \bmod \mathbb{Z}, \tag{5}$$

where $x, y \in V^\sharp$. Note that λ_f is nondegenerate.

A *Wu class* (resp. *integral Wu class*) for (V, f) is an element $v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}$ (resp. $v \in V$) such that $f(x, x) - f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x, v) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x \in V$. The following lemma is well-known (see for example [8], Lemma 1.6 (i)).

Lemma 3. *Every bilinear lattice (V,f) has an integral Wu class.*

Proof. Set $V' = V \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and consider the induced pairing $f' : V' \times V' \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If $v' \in V'$ verifies $f'(x,x) = f'(x,v')$ for all $x \in V'$, then any lift of v' to V is an integral Wu class for f. The map $x \mapsto f'(x,x)$ is a homomorphism $V' \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If f' is nonsingular, that is the adjoint map $V' \to \mathrm{Hom}(V', \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism, then there obviously exists $v' \in V'$ as required. The case of singular f' reduces to the nonsingular case by splitting (V', f') as an orthogonal sum of a nonsingular pairing and a zero pairing. ■

For a Wu class $v \in V_{\mathbb{Q}}$, define a quadratic function $\varphi_{f,v} : G_f \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\varphi_{f,v}\,(x(\mathrm{mod}\ V)) = \frac{1}{2}(f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x,x) - f_{\mathbb{Q}}(x,v))\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z})$$

for $x \in V^\sharp$. One calls $\varphi_{f,v}$ the *discriminant* of (V,f,v). Its associated bilinear pairing is λ_f. The discriminant construction preserves orthogonal sums and produces all nondegenerate quadratic functions on finite abelian groups. For more on this, see [8], [5], [4]. The following formula due to van der Blij [1] computes the Gauss sum $\gamma(G_f, \varphi_{f,v})$ via the signature $\sigma(f) \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Lemma 4. $\gamma(G_f, \varphi_{f,v}) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(\sigma(f) - f_{\mathbb{Q}}(v,v))}$.

1.3. **Reciprocity for tensor products.** A study of the discriminant of a tensor product leads to a reciprocity formula [13, §1.3] which we now state. Let (V,f) and (W,g) be bilinear lattices. Set $Z = V \otimes W$ and define a (symmetric nondegenerate) bilinear pairing $f \otimes g : Z \times Z \to \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$(f \otimes g)(x \otimes y, x' \otimes y') = f(x,x')\, g(y,y') \quad \text{for } x,x' \in V,\ y,y' \in W.$$

Let $z \in Z \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ be a Wu class for $(Z, f \otimes g)$. The discriminant of $(Z, f \otimes g, z)$ is a nondegenerate quadratic function $\varphi_{f\otimes g,z} : G_{f\otimes g} \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ where $G_{f\otimes g} = Z^\sharp/Z$. Define a homomorphism $j_f : G_f \otimes W \to G_{f\otimes g}$ by

$$j_f\,(x\,(\mathrm{mod}\ V) \otimes y) = x \otimes y\ (\mathrm{mod}\ Z)$$

where $x \in V^\sharp, y \in W$. Similarly, define a homomorphism $j_g : V \otimes G_g \to G_{f\otimes g}$ by

$$j_g\,(x \otimes y\,(\mathrm{mod}\ W)) = x \otimes y\ (\mathrm{mod}\ Z)$$

where $x \in V, y \in W^\sharp$.

Theorem 2.

$$\gamma(G_f \otimes W, \varphi_{f\otimes g,z} \circ j_f) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(\sigma(f)\sigma(g) - (f\otimes g)_{\mathbb{Q}}(z,z))}\ \overline{\gamma(V \otimes G_g, \varphi_{f\otimes g,z} \circ j_g)}.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that j_f and j_g are one-to-one, the groups $A = j_f(G_f \otimes W)$ and $B = j_g(V \otimes G_g)$ are orthogonal in $G_{f\otimes g}$, and Coker $j_f = V^* \otimes G_g$ where $V^* = $ Hom $(V, \mathbb{Z})$ (cf. [13, §3]). Therefore $|G_{f\otimes g}| = |A|\ |V^* \otimes G_g| = |A|\ |B|$. Since $|G_{f\otimes g}| = |A|\ |A^\perp|$, we deduce $B = A^\perp$. Lemma 4 and the equality $\sigma(f \otimes g) = \sigma(f)\,\sigma(g)$ give

$$\gamma(G_{f\otimes g}, \varphi_{f\otimes g,z}) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(\sigma(f)\sigma(g) - (f\otimes g)_{\mathbb{Q}}(z,z))}.$$

The desired formula follows then from Lemma 2. ■

We describe two special cases of Theorem 2 first established in [3, §1.4] and [2, Lemma 1.4]. Let $v \in V$ and $w \in W$ be integral Wu classes for f and g, respectively. Clearly, $v \otimes w \in V \otimes W$ is a Wu class for $f \otimes g$. Recall that for a quadratic function $q : G \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ there is a unique quadratic function $q \otimes g : G \otimes W \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ such that $(q \otimes g)(x \otimes y) = q(x) g(y,y)$ for $x \in G, y \in W$. The associated bilinear form of $q \otimes g$

is $b_q \otimes g$. Similarly, one has a quadratic function $f \otimes q : V \otimes G \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Applying Theorem 2 to $z = v \otimes w$ and observing that

$$\varphi_{f\otimes g, v\otimes w} \circ j_f = \varphi_{f,v} \otimes g \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_{f\otimes g, v\otimes w} \circ j_g = f \otimes \varphi_{g,w}$$

we obtain

$$\gamma(G_f \otimes W, \varphi_{f,v} \otimes g) = e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(\sigma(f)\sigma(g) - f(v,v)g(w,w))}\, \overline{\gamma(V \otimes G_g, f \otimes \varphi_{g,w})}.$$

Each pair $a \in V^\sharp, b \in W^\sharp$ determines a homomorphism $p_1(a \otimes b) : G_f \otimes W \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ sending $x \otimes y$ to $\lambda_f(a (\mathrm{mod}\ V), x)\, g_{\mathbb{Q}}(b, y)$ for $x \in G_f, y \in W$. Similarly, the homomorphism $p_2(a \otimes b) : V \otimes G_g \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ sends $x \otimes y$ to $f_{\mathbb{Q}}(a, x)\, \lambda_g(b (\mathrm{mod}\ W), y)$ for $x \in V, y \in G_g$. This extends by linearity to homomorphisms $p_1 : V^\sharp \otimes W^\sharp \to \mathrm{Hom}\ (G_f \otimes W, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and $p_2 : V^\sharp \otimes W^\sharp \to \mathrm{Hom}\ (V \otimes G_g, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$.

Theorem 3. *For any* $\zeta \in V^\sharp \otimes W^\sharp$,

$$\gamma(G_f \otimes W, \varphi_{f,v} \otimes g + p_1(\zeta))$$
$$= e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}(\sigma(f)\sigma(g) - f(v,v)g(w,w) - 4(f_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes g_{\mathbb{Q}})(\zeta, \zeta - v\otimes w))}\, \overline{\gamma(V \otimes G_g, f \otimes \varphi_{g,w} + p_2(\zeta))}.$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 2 to the Wu class $z = v \otimes w - 2\zeta$ of $f \otimes g$. ■

2. PROOF OF FORMULA (3)

2.1. **A particular case.** We begin with a particular case of Theorem 3. Let $V = \mathbb{Z}$ and $f : V \times V \to \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $f(x,y) = rxy$ for an integer $r \geq 1$. Clearly, $V^\sharp = \frac{1}{r}\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ and $G_f = V^\sharp/V$ is a cyclic group of order r generated by $1/r(\mathrm{mod}\ V)$. For any $x, y \in V^\sharp$ and an integral Wu class $v \in V$,

$$\lambda_f(x(\mathrm{mod}\ V), y(\mathrm{mod}\ V)) = rxy\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z}), \quad \varphi_{f,v}(x(\mathrm{mod}\ V)) = \frac{x(x-v)}{2r}\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z}).$$

In the sequel $v = 0 \in V$ for even r and $v = 1 \in V$ for odd r.

Let (W, g) be a bilinear lattice of rank l with integral Wu class $w \in W$. We shall assume that in the case of odd r, the form g is even and $w = 0$. Clearly, $G_f \otimes W = W/rW$ and $\lambda_f \otimes g$ is the composition of the bilinear pairing $g_r : W/rW \times W/rW \to \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ induced by g with the embedding $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ sending $1(\mathrm{mod}\, r)$ to $1/r\, (\mathrm{mod}\, \mathbb{Z})$. The annihilator $T = (W/rW)^\perp$ of $\lambda_f \otimes g$ coincides with the annihilator of g_r. Fix $\zeta \in V^\sharp \otimes W^\sharp = \frac{1}{r}W^\sharp \subset W \otimes \mathbb{Q}$. It follows from the definitions and the assumptions on g that the quadratic function $\varphi_{f,v} \otimes g + p_1(\zeta)$ on W/rW sends $x \in W/rW$ to $\frac{1}{2r}g(\tilde{x}, \tilde{x}) + g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{x}, \zeta)(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z})$ where $\tilde{x} \in W$ is any lift of x. Since $|W/rW| = r^l$,

$$\gamma(G_f \otimes W, \varphi_{f,v} \otimes g + p_1(\zeta)) = r^{-l/2}\, |T|^{-1/2} \sum_{x \in W/rW} e^{\frac{\pi i}{r}(g(\tilde{x},\tilde{x}) + g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{x}, 2r\zeta))}.$$

We have $V \otimes G_g = G_g$ and $f \otimes \lambda_g = r\lambda_g$. Since λ_g is non-degenerate, the annihilator $G_g^\perp$ of $f \otimes \lambda_g$ is equal to $\{y \in G_g \mid ry = 0\}$. The latter group is isomorphic to T via $y(\mathrm{mod}\ W) \mapsto ry(\mathrm{mod}\ rW)$ for any $y \in W^\sharp$ with $ry \in W$. The quadratic function $f \otimes \varphi_{g,w} + p_2(\zeta) : G_g \to \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ sends $y \in G_g = W^\sharp/W$ to

$$r\varphi_{g,w}(y,y) + \lambda_g(y, r\zeta(\mathrm{mod}\ W)) = (r/2)g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{y} - w) + rg_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{y}, \zeta)(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z})$$

where $\tilde{y} \in W^\sharp$ is any lift of y. If r is odd, then $w = 0$ so $\frac{r}{2}g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{y}, w) = 0$. If r is even, then $r/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{y}, w) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, in all cases, $(r/2)g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{y}, w) = 0(\mathrm{mod}\ \mathbb{Z})$. Therefore

$$\gamma(V \otimes G_g, f \otimes \varphi_{g,w} + p_2(\zeta)) = |G_g|^{-1/2}\, |T|^{-1/2} \sum_{y \in W^\sharp/W} e^{\pi i r g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{y} + 2\zeta)}.$$

Since $\sigma(f)=1$ and $v\otimes w=0$, Theorem 3 and the computations above give

$$r^{-l/2}\sum_{x\in W/rW} e^{\frac{\pi i}{r}(g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{x},\tilde{x})+2r\langle\tilde{x},\zeta\rangle)} \tag{6}$$
$$= e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}\sigma(g)}|G_g|^{-1/2}\sum_{y\in W^{\sharp}/W} e^{-\pi i r(g_{\mathbb{Q}}(\tilde{y}+\zeta,\tilde{y}+\zeta))}.$$

2.2. **Volume of lattices.** We shall use two simple properties of the volume of lattices defined in the Introduction. Let W be a lattice of finite rank and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ be an inner product in $W_{\mathbb{R}}=W\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{R}$. For a sublattice $W'\subset W$ of the same rank, the quotient W/W' is a finite abelian group and $|W/W'|=\mathrm{vol}(W')/\mathrm{vol}(W)$. For the dual lattice $W^{\bullet}=\{x\in W_{\mathbb{R}}:\langle x,W\rangle\subset\mathbb{Z}\}$, we have $\mathrm{vol}(W^{\bullet})=(\mathrm{vol}(W))^{-1}$.

2.3. **Proof of (3).** Note that we have two dual lattices $W^{\bullet}\subset W_{\mathbb{R}}$ (duality with respect to $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$) and $W^{\sharp}\subset W_{\mathbb{R}}$ (duality with respect to g). It follows from the definitions that $W^{\bullet}=h(W^{\sharp})$.

It follows from the hypotheses (1) that if r is odd, then $g(x,x)\in 2\mathbb{Z}$ for all $x\in W$, so that $w=0$ is a Wu class of g. If r is even, we take w to be an arbitrary integral Wu class for g. Define f and $v\in\mathbb{Z}$ as in Section 2.1 and set $\zeta=h^{-1}(\psi)\in W_{\mathbb{R}}$. The hypotheses (1) imply that $\zeta\in\frac{1}{r}W^{\sharp}$ as required in Section 2.1. Therefore we have Formula (6). We can rewrite it as

$$\sum_{x\in W/rW} e^{\frac{\pi i}{r}(\langle\tilde{x},h(\tilde{x})\rangle+2r\langle\tilde{x},\psi\rangle)} \tag{7}$$
$$= e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}\sigma(g)}|G_g|^{-1/2}\,r^{l/2}\sum_{y\in W^{\bullet}/h(W)} e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}$$

where $\tilde{x}\in W$ is an arbitrary lift of x and $\tilde{y}\in W^{\bullet}$ is an arbitrary lift of y. By the assumptions, $h(W^{\bullet})\subset W^{\bullet}$. Observe that for $\tilde{y}\in W^{\bullet}$, the expression $e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}$ depends only on $\tilde{y}(\mathrm{mod}\,h(W)+h(W^{\bullet}))$. Indeed, for $z\in h(W^{\bullet})\subset W^{\bullet}$,

$$r\langle z+\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(z+\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle$$
$$=r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle+2r\langle\tilde{y},h^{-1}(z)\rangle+2r\langle\psi,h^{-1}(z)\rangle+r\langle z,h^{-1}(z)\rangle.$$

By the assumptions (1), the last three terms belong to $2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$e^{-\pi i r\langle z+\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(z+\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}=e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}.$$

Set

$$s=\sum_{y\in W^{\bullet}/h(W)+h(W^{\bullet})} e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{y\in W^{\bullet}/h(W)} e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}=\left|\frac{h(W)+h(W^{\bullet})}{h(W)}\right|s$$
$$=\left|\frac{h(W^{\bullet})}{h(W)\cap h(W^{\bullet})}\right|s=|h(W^{\bullet}/W\cap W^{\bullet})|\,s=|W^{\bullet}/W\cap W^{\bullet}|\,s.$$

Similarly,

$$\sum_{y\in W^{\bullet}/h(W^{\bullet})} e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}=|W/W\cap W^{\bullet}|\,s.$$

We deduce that

$$\sum_{y\in W^{\bullet}/h(W)} e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}$$
$$=|W^{\bullet}/W\cap W^{\bullet}|\cdot|W/W\cap W^{\bullet}|^{-1}\sum_{y\in W^{\bullet}/h(W^{\bullet})} e^{-\pi i r\langle\tilde{y}+\psi,h^{-1}(\tilde{y}+\psi)\rangle}.$$

By the results of Section 2.2, $\mathrm{vol}(W^\bullet) = (\mathrm{vol}(W))^{-1}$,

$$|W^\bullet / W \cap W^\bullet| \cdot |W/W \cap W^\bullet|^{-1} = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(W)}{\mathrm{vol}(W^\bullet)} = (\mathrm{vol}(W))^2$$

and

$$|G_g| = |W^\sharp/W| = |W^\bullet/h(W)| = \mathrm{vol}(h(W))/\mathrm{vol}(W^\bullet) = |\det(h)|\,(\mathrm{vol}(W))^2.$$

Substituting these formulas in (7), we obtain Formula (3). ■

Remark. The proof above goes mutatis mutandis for any nondegenerate symmetric bilinear pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $W_{\mathbb{R}}$. (The arguments invoked in [6] and [7] require the pairing to be positive definite.)

REFERENCES

[1] F. van der Blij, *An invariant of quadratic forms modulo 8*, Indag. Math. **21** (1959), 291–293.

[2] F. Deloup, *Explicit formulas for abelian quantum invariants of links in 3-manifolds*, PhD thesis, Columbia University (1997).

[3] ———, *On abelian quantum invariants of links in* 3*-manifolds*, Math. Ann. **319** (2001), 759–795.

[4] F. Deloup and G. Massuyeau, *Quadratic functions on torsion groups*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 198 (2005), 105 – 121.

[5] A.H. Durfee, *Bilinear and quadratic forms on torsion modules*, Adv. in Math. **25** (1977), 133–164.

[6] S. K. Hansen & T. Takata, *Reshetikhin-Turaev invariants of Seifert 3-manifolds*, J. of Knot Theory and Ramif. vol 13 n.5 (2004), 617–668.

[7] L.C. Jeffrey, *Chern-Simons-Witten Invariants of Lens Spaces and Torus bundles and the semi-classical approximation*, Comm. Math. Phys. 147, 563–604 (1992).

[8] E. Looijenga, J. Wahl, *Quadratic functions and smoothing surface singularities*, Topology **25**, no. **3** (1986), 261–291.

[9] J. Mattes, M. Polyak & N. Reshetikhin, *On Invariants of 3-manifolds Derived from Abelian Groups*, in Quantum Topology, R. Badhio and L. Kauffman, Editors. 1993, World Scientific, 324-338.

[10] V.V. Nikulin, *Integer symmetric bilinear forms and some of their geometric applications* (Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **43**, no. **1**, (1979) 111–177. English translation: Math USSR-Izv. **14**, no. **1**, (1979) 103–167 (1980).

[11] W. Scharlau, *Quadratic and Hermitian forms*, Grund. der Math. Wissenschaften 270, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

[12] T.A. Springer, *Caractères quadratiques de groupes abéliens finis et sommes de Gauss* Colloque sur les Formes Quadratiques (Montpellier, 1975), Bull. Soc. Math. France Suppl. Mem. No. 48, 103–115 (1976).

[13] V.G. Turaev, *Reciprocity for Gauss sums on finite abelian groups*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 124 (1998), no. **2**, 205–214.

Adresses of authors:

F.D., Institut de Mathématiques, Université Paul Sabatier – Toulouse III, 118, route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse cedex 4, France. Email: deloup@picard.ups-tlse.fr

V.T., Institut de Recherche en Mathématiques Avancées – UMR 7501 CNRS , Université Louis Pasteur – Strasbourg I, 7 rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg cedex, France. Email: turaev@math.u-strasbg.fr